Department
for Environment
Food & Rural Affairs

UK Reflections on the decision tree

UK Reflections and suggestions on the decision tree

- The Lessons learned from Biodiversity have shown that indicators and targets need to be developed in parallel via an **iterative** process.

- We support the Bureau approving the overall process and scope, however the current virtual working is challenging and therefore **until** ICCM 5 the UK supports full inclusiveness and transparency for Beyond 2020 activities rather than regional nominations.

- The process for drafting targets and the development of indicators requires different skill sets

- We support elements of both Option 1 and 2 and would like to propose a hybrid approach

Hybrid option (1 and 2)

Approval by Bureau of scope for virtual period process

VWG1 *and VWG2*
*(*policy experts/negotiators)

Send draft targets under the strategic objectives to the subgroup for assessment of measurability etc by data experts

Meanwhile continue work on some draft targets

Consider advice from expert subgroup to assist in target formulation, *reporting, and taking stock of progress*.

Iteratively

Technical Expert **subgroup**
*(*data experts/statisticians)

- propose a small number of headline indicators, identifying data custodians and gaps, considering measurability, methodology, where changes to target wording may be helpful,
- other Option 1,2 elements as needed

Recommendations to IP4 and ICCM-5 for the draft targets and a small number of headline indicators to allow for assessment of the framework from day 1