



# STRATEGIC APPROACH TO INTERNATIONAL CHEMICALS MANAGEMENT



24<sup>th</sup> Meeting of the Bureau

Agenda Item 4

Feedback from the IP Co-Chairs  
consultation process

Thursday, 15 December 2022

## IP Co-Chairs consultation process:

- At the virtual meeting on Tuesday, 1 November 2022, IP Co-Chairs provided an overview of the consultation process with its objectives and relevant timelines.
- Comments to questions and issues raised by the IP Co-Chairs (*next slide*) were requested from constituencies by Friday, 2 December 2022, to be submitted to the SAICM Secretariat via the Bureau members.
- The objective today is to provide the ICCM5 Bureau with an update on the consultation process to and discuss the way forward ahead of the resumed IP4.

## Feedback considered the following questions and issues:

- Does the consolidated text help us achieve our vision?
- What are the gaps, enhancements or improvements to be made in the text?
- Does the text adequately strengthen and enhance sectoral and multistakeholder engagement and ownership including for the private sector?
- Could the placement of some text in the document be modified, or moved out of the consolidated text and into a resolution?
- What parts of the document could be considered static versus dynamic i.e., be more easily amended?
- Feedback on a resolution from ICCM5 on the name and adoption of the new framework.
- Feedback on a resolution from ICCM5 on transitional arrangements and early implementation.
- Feedback on legal and technical (editing) revision.

## Feedback received:

The feedback was received under the IP Co-Chairs consultation process from:

- Africa
- Central and Eastern Europe (Bosnia and Herzegovina)
- European Union
- WEOG (Canada, Japan, the United Kingdom and the USA)
- Health Sector NGOs
- Public Interest NGOs

All the submissions are posted online at the SAICM website [HERE](#)

## Analysis of the submissions 1/2

### Areas of converging or common views:

- Stakeholders agree that there are some gaps in the text and that enhancements or improvements could be made.
- Stakeholders agree that further work is needed to strengthen and enhance sectoral and multistakeholder engagement and ownership.
- Stakeholders share the view that IP4.2 should prioritize work on the single consolidated text but also agree with the need to prepare resolutions for ICCM5.

### Areas of diverging views from stakeholders:

- Modification of the placement of some text in the document or moving some text out of the consolidated text and into a resolution.
- The timing of the discussions on ICCM 5 resolutions.

## Analysis of the submissions 2/2

### Areas of no clear convergence or divergence of views:

- The concept of static and dynamic parts of the text was in principle welcomed by stakeholders.
- However, some stakeholders may not have yet fixed views on this subject.
- Targets and indicators, as well as issues of concern, have been proposed to be included in the dynamic part of the text.
- However, one submission shows that what should be placed in the dynamic part of the text may need some further discussion.

### Need of legal and technical editing of the single consolidated text:

- Stakeholders support such efforts but given that the work on the single consolidated document is still in progress, such revision could take place post resumed IP4 meeting.

Some of the comments received on the **text of the vision** for the future instrument could be **addressed in a statement of needs.**



<http://www.saicm.org>  
[saicm.chemicals@un.org](mailto:saicm.chemicals@un.org)



Follow us  
**@ChemandWaste**  
**#Beyond2020 #SAICM**



United Nations Environment  
Chemin des Anémones 11-13  
CH-1219 Chatelaine, Geneva  
Switzerland