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Who are we?

Risk & Policy Analysts (RPA) is specialised in the socioeconomic assessment of environmental policies. It was born in 1990 in the UK and has now offices in Czech Republic, Italy and Lithuania.
Relevant experience

We have a strong expertise on chemical policies and chemical risk management. Our main clients: the European Commission and its agencies (ECHA, EEA, EFSA, EASME), the OECD, EU Member States’ authorities, industry associations, trade unions, NGOs and chemical industry.

Back in 2006, we carried out the ex-ante impact assessment of the implementation of GHS in the EU (which resulted in the CLP Regulation) and over the years we have conducted tens of studies looking at all different aspects of CLP, often in conjunction with the REACH Regulation, for example: human health and environmental benefits, impacts on competitiveness, innovation and SMEs, development of indicators for monitoring of implementation and enforcement, synergies with product safety, OSH, waste, environmental media protection legislation
At the moment we are concluding the supporting study to the impact assessment of the revision of the CLP Regulation, where we have been investigating a number of topics, such as the introduction of new hazard classes, particular aspects of labelling (small items, substances and mixtures in bulk, multilanguage labels), scope exemptions, online sales of chemicals among other things).

Importantly, we have supported the European Commission and ECHA with the assessment of the national capacities and readiness of the Western Balkans and Turkey to implement and enforce EU chemical legislation (wider scope: CLP, but also REACH, BPR, PIC and POPs)
Objectives of the current study

General objective

• To support the global implementation of GHS by running a pilot in Ghana, Ivory Coast, Kenya, and Nigeria

Specific objectives

• To establish country baselines
• To carry out gaps assessment of the institutional capacity to implement and enforce GHS
• To detail national GHS implementation plans, with priorities, timeline and resources, while ensuring buy-in and ownership of the results
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work Packages</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work Package 1</td>
<td>Definition of the baselines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Package 2</td>
<td>Gap assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Package 3</td>
<td>National GHS implementation plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work Package 4</td>
<td>Outreach activities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Work Package 1 – Definition of the base

Purpose: to collect high quality and detailed information, in close collaboration with the stakeholders in the beneficiary countries and the project steering group

Tasks

- 1.1 Kick-off meeting
- 1.2 Assessment of the legislative frameworks
- 1.3 Mapping of current and past initiatives
- 1.4 Analysis of the institutional frameworks and stakeholder mapping
- 1.5 Assessment of implementation and enforcement capacity and practices
- 1.6 Assessment of competencies, resources and development plans
- 1.7 Assessment of transparency and engagement processes
- 1.8 Assessment of existing IT infrastructure
- 1.9 Definition of GHS implementation and enforcement ambitions
- 1.10 Baseline - country specific reports
Work Package 2 – Gap assessment

**Purpose:** to assess the gaps in the competencies and resources primarily of the national authorities but also of other stakeholders

**Tasks**

- 2.1 Gap assessment - competencies and resources (GHS implementation)
- 2.2 Gap assessment - competencies and resources (GHS enforcement)
- 2.3 Gap assessment - transparency and engagement processes
- 2.4 Gap assessment - IT infrastructures
- 2.5 Establishment and functioning of HelpDesks
- 2.6 Development of cost recovery models
- 2.7 Gap assessment - country specific reports

For all tasks, the team will consider a suitable benchmark and the levels of ambition defined in collaboration with the stakeholders and the steering group.
Work Package 3 – National GHS implementation plans

Purpose: to compile the findings of WP1 and WP2 in terms of gaps and recommendations and translate these in actionable propositions to be assigned to a specific owner with a clear timetable for each action

Tasks

• 3.1 Identification of action dependencies, synergies and priorities
• 3.2 Identification of possible international synergies and joint actions
• 3.3 Preparation of the final consolidated reports and national GHS implementation plans
Work Package 3 – National GHS implementation plans

Preliminary outline of the action plan:

1. Introduction and objectives
2. Proposed actions
   • Processes for implementation and enforcement of GHS
   • Actions to ensure institutional capacity to implement and enforce GHS
   • Actions to ensure adequate IT infrastructure
   • Joint actions in several beneficiary countries
   • Measures to improve stakeholder consultation and engagement
   • Additional actions or aspects for successful implementation
3. Overall cost estimations of the proposed actions
4. Overall timeline of the proposed actions and actors to be included
Work Package 4 – Outreach activities

Purpose: to present and refine the findings of the national implementation plans

Tasks

- 4.1 Workshop coordination meeting
- 4.2 Preparation and implementation of workshops
- 4.3 Production of workshop reports
- 4.4 Amendment of national GHS implementation plans
Work Package 4 – Outreach activities

• A workshop in each country or a couple of events for beneficiary countries will be organised, involving relevant national stakeholders from candidate countries as well as representatives from the steering group.

• It will serve as a forum to discuss and further clarify the conclusions of the assessment, validate the proposed actions and collect valuable feedback to make any necessary amendment.

• This is an essential step in ensuring the relevant actors in all four countries thoroughly understand the work that led up to the proposed actions.
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# Work plan

## WORK PACKAGE 1 – Definition of the baseline
1.1 Kick-off meeting  
KO

## WORK PACKAGE 2 - Gap assessment

### 2.1 Gap assessment - competencies and resources (GHS implementation)

### 2.2 Gap assessment - competencies and resources (GHS enforcement)

### 2.3 Gap assessment - transparency and engagement processes

### 2.4 Gap assessment - IT infrastructures

### 2.5 Establishment and functioning of HelpDesks

### 2.6 Development of cost recovery models

### 2.7 Gap assessment - country specific reports

### WORK PACKAGE 3 - National GHS implementation plans

### 3.1 Identification of action dependencies, synergies and priorities

### 3.2 Identification of possible international synergies and joint actions

### 3.3 Preparation of the final consolidated reports and national GHS implementation plans

**WORK PACKAGE 4 - Outreach activities**

4.1 Workshop coordination meeting  
WCM

4.2 Preparation and implementation of workshops  

4.3 Production of workshop reports  
WR

4.4 Amendment of national GHS implementation plans  
aNIP

---

**Legend:**  
KO = Kick-off meeting  
BCSR: Baseline country specific reports  
GACSR: Gap assessment country specific reports  
NIP: National Implementation plans  
WCM: Workshop coordination meeting  
WR: Workshop report  
aNIP: amended GHS National implementation plans
## Work plan – October to April

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORK PACKAGE 1 – Definition of the baseline</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1 Kick-off meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>KO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2 Assessment of the legislative frameworks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.3 Mapping of current initiatives</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.4 Analysis of the institutional frameworks and stakeholder mapping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5 Assessment of enforcement capacity and practices</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 Assessment of competencies, resources and development plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.7 Assessment of transparency and engagement processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.8 Assessment of existing IT infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.9 Definition of GHS Implementation and enforcement ambitions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BCSR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10 Baseline - country specific reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BCSR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORK PACKAGE 2 - Gap assessment</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.1 Gap assessment - competencies and resources (GHS implementation)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2 Gap assessment - competencies and resources (GHS enforcement)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3 Gap assessment - transparency and engagement processes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 Gap assessment - IT infrastructures</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5 Establishment and functioning of HelpDesks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.6 Development of cost recovery models</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.7 Gap assessment - country specific reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GACSR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RPA Europe
## Work plan – March to December

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3.1 Identification of action dependencies, synergies and priorities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2 Identification of possible international synergies and joint actions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.3 Preparation of the final consolidated reports and national GHS implementation plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.1 Workshop coordination meeting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WCM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.2 Preparation and implementation of workshops</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3 Production of workshop reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>WR</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4 Amendment of national GHS implementation plans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>aNIP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Thank you!
Any questions?