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## Overall Structure of IP3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date/Time</th>
<th>Monday 30 September</th>
<th>Tuesday 1 October</th>
<th>Wednesday 2 October</th>
<th>Thursday 3 October</th>
<th>Friday 4 October</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.00 - 8.30 a.m.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Bureau meeting</td>
<td>Bureau meeting</td>
<td>Bureau meeting</td>
<td>Bureau meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.30 - 9.30 a.m.</td>
<td>Bureau meeting</td>
<td>Regional &amp; group</td>
<td>Regional &amp; group</td>
<td>Regional &amp; group</td>
<td>Regional &amp; group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>meetings</td>
<td>meetings</td>
<td>meetings</td>
<td>meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.30 a.m. - 1.00 p.m.</td>
<td>TB1: Co-Chairs briefing (9.30-10.30 a.m.)</td>
<td>PLENARY</td>
<td>Thematic groups: a &amp; d *</td>
<td>Reporting back to Plenary</td>
<td>Reporting back to Plenary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TB2: Enhancing SMCM - Germany (10.45-11.45 a.m.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Immediately following Plenary</td>
<td>Immediately following Plenary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TB3: Outcomes Indicator Workshop – UK (12.00-1.30 p.m.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Thematic groups: a &amp; b *</td>
<td>Thematic groups: a &amp; d *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 - 2.30 p.m.</td>
<td>TB4: Science-policy – UNEP (1.45-2.45 p.m.)</td>
<td>Sector meeting: Health</td>
<td>Sector meeting: Labour</td>
<td>Sector meeting: Agriculture</td>
<td>Sector meeting: Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.30 - 6.00 p.m.</td>
<td>Regional &amp; group meetings (3.00-6.30 p.m.)</td>
<td>PLENARY</td>
<td>Thematic groups: b &amp; c *</td>
<td>Thematic groups: c &amp; d *</td>
<td>PLENARY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evening</td>
<td>TB5: Knowledge Management &amp; Info Sharing – ICCA &amp; UNEP (6.30 - 7.45 p.m.)</td>
<td>Special event: Biodiversity Linkages (6.00 - 8.00 p.m.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Participants should come prepared to propose draft recommendations that can be added to Annex I of SAICM/OEWG.3/9: OEWG3 outcome paper.

Text to be used to develop a complete draft of recommendations to be further refined at IP4 and brought forward to ICCM5.

Focus will be on issues not discussed at OEWG3, including:
- Targets, milestones and indicators,
- Governance / institutional arrangements,
- Mechanisms to support implementation, including issues of concern,
- Financing

Discussions on these topics will be held in four thematic groups.
IP3 – What to expect, what is expected?

Thematic groups (only two at any time)

**Group A:** Targets, milestones and indicators

**Group B:** Enhanced Governance/institutional arrangements

**Group C:** Mechanisms to support implementation (including science-policy and issues of concern)

**Group D:** Financial Considerations
Key documents agenda item 4

SAICM/IP.3/INF/1 – Report on the work OEWG3


Please also review:
Documents received from SAICM Stakeholders for IP3 – www.saicm.org
Key documents agenda item 4 (cont'd)

a) Targets, milestones and indicators
SAICM/IP.3/INF/2 – Technical Expert Workshop Report on Indicators (UK)

b) Institutional arrangements
SAICM/IP.3/INF/4 – Enhancing the sound management of chemicals and waste beyond 2020 (Germany)

SAICM/IP.3/INF/10 – Outcomes of the 9-10 September 2019 workshop on governance and institutional arrangements (UNITAR)
Key documents agenda item 4 (cont'd)

c) Mechanisms to support implementation
SAICM/IP.3/3 - Additional measures for multi-sectoral & multi-stakeholder engagement (co-chairs)
SAICM/IP.3/4 - Addressing issues of concern (co-chairs)
SAICM/IP.3/5/Corr.1 - Other mechanisms to support implementation (co-chairs)
SAICM/IP.3/10 - Analysis of modalities to assess progress (secretariat)

d) Financial considerations
SAICM/IP.3/7 - Review of successful mechanisms for cost recovery and implementation of polluters pays principle for the financing (secretariat)
SAICM/IP.3/INF/5 - Evaluation of the implementation of the integrated approach to financing the sound management of chemicals and waste
ICCM5 - Fifth session of the International Conference on Chemicals Management

Bonn, Germany, 5-9 October 2020

Save the date!
Thank you!

Questions?

jose.demesa@un.org

www.saicm.org

Follow us @ChemandWaste
#Beyond2020  #IP3
Technical Briefing on Co-Chairs Papers
Prepared for IP3

Judith Torres, David Morin
September 30, 2019
Overview

- Background
- Review of Papers
  - Mechanisms to Support Implementation
    - Taking Stock of Progress
    - Capacity Building
    - Updating the mechanism
  - Multi–sectoral engagement
  - Issues of concern
- IP3 – what to expect, what is expected
Where we are in Intersessional Process

- In 2015, an intersessional process was initiated to prepare recommendations for ICCM5 in October 2020 on the Strategic Approach and the sound management of chemicals and waste

- **First meeting:** February 2017, Brasilia, Brazil
  - Brainstorming on vision and scope with significant support for voluntary, multi-stakeholder and multi-sectoral approach and linkages to the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development
Where we are in Intersessional Process (continued)

• **Second meeting:** March 2018, Stockholm, Sweden:
  ◦ **Vision** – discussion of a simple, high-level, raise awareness, set direction, aspirational
  ◦ **Policy principles** – long list of possible additions proposed
  ◦ **Objectives and milestones** – agreed they should be limited in number, ambitious but realistic
  ◦ **Taking stock of progress** – discussed review mechanism based focussed on a set of objectives and milestones

• **OEWG3:** April 2019, Montevideo, Uruguay, participants discussed co-chairs’ paper
  ◦ **Progress on vision, scope, and strategic objectives**
  ◦ **Limited dialogue on governance, finances and implementation arrangements**
  ◦ **Contact group outcome as basis for future negotiations**
OEWG3 Mandate to Co–chairs

- Prepare the following papers:
  - Mechanisms to support implementation
  - Measures to achieve multi–stakeholder engagement
  - Issues of concern – selection criteria and process
  - Principles and approaches
Sources of Co-chairs paper

Based on:

- outcomes from IP1, IP2, OEWG3 and taking into account stakeholder and Bureau input

- May/June 2019 stakeholder submissions as agreed to at OEWG3

- feedback during Bureau meeting, July 9–10, 2019, St. Petersburg

- second round of consultations ended July 25
Mechanisms to Support Implementation: Taking Stock of Progress

- The document outlines considerations for reporting by different stakeholders
- Some of the considerations:
  - National Implementation Reports, with each country reviewed every three or four years
  - Minimize reporting burden by leveraging data through processes (e.g. Conventions)
  - Concept of key targets
  - Periodic review of specific areas but overall effectiveness should also be evaluated, possibly at the same time as the 2030 Agenda
  - Reporting by non-government participants
Mechanisms to Support Implementation: Taking Stock of Progress

Key Considerations

- Lessons learned from 2014–2016 progress report; Secretariat analysis of options for modalities to assess progress beyond 2020 (SAICM/IP.3/10); and outcome document of the indicators workshop (SAICM/IP.3/INF/2)

- How would this be linked to a science–policy interface mechanism; would the EPIs be included?

- “What is measured gets done”
Mechanisms to Support Implementation: Capacity Building

- Adopt a “learn by doing” approach
- Involves:
  - partnerships and collaborations at the national and regional level;
  - support from stakeholders
  - leveraging existing and new international collaborative activities, link to finances

- Should include:
  - Ability to develop, monitor and enforce policy, legislation and regulations
  - training and institutional strengthening
  - information exchange mechanisms
Mechanisms to Support Implementation: Updating the mechanism

- A mechanism for the purpose of developing recommendations and options to update will be required
- Amendments may be proposed by any government stakeholder
- Should be aligned with evaluation and review (taking stock of progress)
- The mechanism should be dynamic, flexible, and responsive, while minimizing administrative burden
Multi-sectoral engagement

- The document proposes:
  - the development of a strategy for greater engagement, particularly with under and non-represented sectors

- The document outlines several considerations:
  - stakeholder commitment to milestones or pledges
  - multi-stakeholder and multi-sectoral engagement should be a regular consideration in the undertaking of any activity
  - regularly review implementation of the strategy and consider successes, lessons learned and any new challenges and opportunities
Issues of concern

• Proposed definition:

“An issue of concern that warrants global, concerted action is an issue involving any phase in the life cycle of chemicals, which has not yet been generally recognised, or has been recognized but is insufficiently addressed or arises as a potential concern from the current level of scientific information and which may have significant adverse effects on human health and/or the environment.”
Issues of concern

- Proposed criteria:
  - Significant potential impact on human health or the environment
  - Need to be addressed to enhance core chemicals and waste management
  - Extent to which the issue is being addressed by other bodies
  - Extent to which the problem is relevant across regions
  - Extent to which the issue is of a cross-cutting nature
  - There is a plan or action with expected results
Issues of concern

• Proposed mechanism/ steps for adoption:
  ◦ Identification, Selection, Nomination, Review and prioritisation
  ◦ Mechanisms for implementation
  ◦ Tracking progress
  ◦ Criteria for completion/sunsetting an issue
  ◦ Accelerating progress on an issue
Key considerations:

- Two tiered process proposed (agree if an issue is of concern, then adopt a reasonable workplan)
- What is the link between target/milestones and issues of concern?
- Any link to a possible science–policy interface body?
- Administrative burden and resources – where is new funding needed, where can we leverage existing bodies?
- What is to be done with established emerging policy issues in the beyond 2020 framework?
Conclusion, Questions

- Please take advantage of the thematic groups, and your regional meetings to discuss these topics

- Use your regional and sectoral focal points to raise your views at the Bureau meetings

- Goal is to produce an outcome document that will serve as a key input to IP4

- We look forward to productive discussions

- Thank you!